

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/797,650	TOMITA, AKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanh D. Vo	2189	

All Participants:

(1) Thanh D. Vo.

(2) ~~Chan-Pok Leung (Reg. 41,405)~~

Status of Application: _____

(3) George B.F. Yee (37,478).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Proposed amendment sent by the Applicant's representative.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 12, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with Applicant's representative regarding the allowable subject matter in claim 6 and 17 in combination with the independent claims 1 and 12 and requesting the Applicant's representative to file an extension of time in order to process the Examiner's Amendment..